



AF

PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 09700.0062-00
SAP Reference No. 2003P00323US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
)	
Johannes LAUTERBACH et al.)	Group Art Unit: 2179
)	
Application No.: 10/676,364)	Examiner: Nicholas AUGUSTINE
)	
Filed: September 30, 2003)	Confirmation No.: 3223
)	
For: DEVELOPING APPLICATIONS)	
USING A METAMODEL)	

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REPLY TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

In reply to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed June 12, 2008, the period for response extending through July 12, 2008, Appellants submit a replacement "Status of Claims" section for the Appeal Brief filed on June 3, 2008.


The Notification indicates that the Appeal Brief "does not identify the claims on appeal in the status of claims section." Accordingly, Appellants attach hereto a replacement "Status of Claims" section which even more clearly identifies the appealed claims.

Please grant any extension of time required to enter this Reply and charge any additional required fees to Deposit Account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: June 24, 2008

By: 
Peter C. Yi
Reg. No. 61,790

Attachment: Status of Claims (1 page)

III. Status of Claims

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by the combination of "Using the SNAP Development Environment" ("*SNAP*"), "Using the WFT Development Environment ("*ENV*"), and "Developing a WFT Workflow System" ("*WFT*").¹

Claims 1-22 are being appealed.

¹ The Examiner cited numerous references but only three of those references, namely, *SNAP*, *ENV*, and *WFT*, were used to support the § 102(b) rejection.